

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/497,957	THOMAS ET AL.
	Examiner Jeanine A Goldberg	Art Unit 1634

All Participants:

Status of Application: *After 3^d Restriction*

(1) Jeanine A Goldberg. (3) _____.

(2) Roger Rich. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to discuss the history of the application with applicant. The examiner failed to understand why restrictions had been sent out since an office action had been received by applicant directed to SEQ ID NO: 3. Applicant was not able to shed light into the apparent office mistake. Thus, the examiner indicated that the previous restrictions and elections to SE QID NO: 1 would be withdrawn and election by original presentation of SEQ ID NO: 3 would be examined. .